

Biological Forum – An International Journal 13(3a): 364-369(2021)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

Effect of Different Techniques (Staggered Sowing, Planting Ratio and Supplementary Pollination) on Seed yield of Hybrid Rice (PRH-10) in Bihar Condition

Sushmita^{1*} and Mukesh Kumar²

¹M.Sc. Reaserch Scholar, Department of Seed Science and Technology, Bihar Agriculture University, Sabour, Bhagalpur, (Bihar), India. ²Assistant Professor Cum Junior Scientist, Department of Seed Science and Technology, Bihar agriculture University, Sabour, Bhagalpur, (Bihar), India.

(*Corresponding author: Sushmita**) (Received 09 July 2021, Accepted 16 September, 2021) (Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: The experiments were conducted during Kharif 2017 and 2018 for seed production of hybrid rice PRH-10 at Sabour, Bhagalpur (Bihar) condition. The seed production of hybrid rice is very much dependent on local environmental conditions besides the flowering natures of its parental lines. Based on 50% flowering, the parental line, which includes seed parent Pusa 6A and pollen parent PRR78, had a considerable difference in growth duration and flowering time. The accomplishment of synchronization of seed parent and pollen parent flowering is one of the most important milestones in this process. It was accomplished in the current experiment using the growth duration difference method. Other major variables for increased seed setting percent and hybrid seed output were days to 50% blooming, panicle exertion, and flag leaf angle. Several methods of supplementary pollination under various staggered sowing and planting ratio, had been practiced to find out maximum seed setting percent and hybrid seed yield. It was found that GA3 had a substantial favorable influence on panicle exertion and spikelet opening angle when used alone or in combination with flag leaf trimming, resulting in a high percentage of seed setting and seed yield.

Keywords: Pollen parent, Seed parent, Supplementary Pollination & Hybrid.

INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is a globally important crop that plays a vital part in the country's food security. The total area under rice cultivation is roughly 43.78 mha, with hybrid rice covering 2.6 mha. In the year 2019-2020, India's average rice output is roughly 118.43 mt, with a yield of 2705 kg/ha. The entire area under rice production in Bihar is 2.89 mha, with hybrid rice accounting for 15% of the total. Bihar produces roughly 6.05 million tonnes of rice on average, accounting for 5.11 percent of India's total rice production. Rice yield in Bihar for the 2019-2020 season is 1948 kg/ha. (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, 2020). Rice, unlike other crops where hetrosis has been economically exploited, is mostly a self-pollinated crop with little studies on the blooming behaviour and seed setting pattern of parental lines, making hybrid rice seed development a difficult operation. In comparison to inbreed rice varieties, hybrid rice has the potential to boost output by 15-20%. As a result of the increase in rice output attributed to hybrid rice, an estimated 60 million people gain food security each year. (Li et al., 2010) Several hybrids from the public and private sectors have been released and sold in India and other

countries since 2003, when they were grown on roughly 1 million acres. To reap the benefits of hybrid rice production technology across all rice-growing agroclimatic areas, it must be developed and implemented successfully. It has been claimed that the provenance has a significant impact on hybrid seed production technique. That is why this technology must be redefined in the environment for better seed parent and pollen parent synchronization in each hybrid. Rice is a self-pollinated crop that requires supplemental pollination to increase out crossing. Furthermore, only the wild abortive (CMS-WA) type of cytoplasm is stable among all the possible cytoplasm sources (Malik et al., 2020). This technology is widely used, and it is the basis for all hybrids released in India.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The parental lines of hybrid rice PRH 10 was used and sown in the field during kharif season. In parental line were sown in kharif 2017 to know the growth duration difference for synchronization of flowering.

Transplanting was done in planting ratio of 2:6 and 2:8 with following seeding interval of 15, 10, 5 and 0 days between pollen parent and seed parent, in split plot

Sushmita & Kumar

Biological Forum – An International Journal 13(3a): 364-369(2021)

design during kharif 2018. One seedling per hill was used for transplanting of both parents. It was done in well puddled soil and normal agronomical package and practices were adopted.

Methodology

Rope pulling: Rice is a self-pollinated crop; hence extra pollination is required to improve out crossing. Rope pulling was used in every DOS to accomplish this. At peak anthesis period, between 9.30 a.m. and 11.30 a.m., the male parent was shaken every half hour.

Gibberellic acid (GA_3): GA_3 was sprayed when 5% of the tillers had begun to head, and it was done in the evening. At a rate of 30 gramme per hectare, GA3 (60 ppm) was administered. On the first day, 40% of the GA3 was sprayed, with the remaining 60% sprayed the next day.

Flag leaf clipping: Flag leaf was clipped when primary tillers are at booting stage. This was practiced to improve pollen movement uniformity and pollen grain dissemination, resulting in increased seed set.

Observation:

Plant height (cm): Plant height was measured in centimetres from the ground level to the tip of the plant in both the parents, at grain maturation time.

Days to 50 per cent flowering: The number of days taken from sowing to 50 per cent of the plants in the plot to flower was recorded as days to 50 per cent flowering.

Spikelet opening angle: It is the angle between lemma and palea. Five fully opened individual spikelets were collected randomly for each treatment and kept on a paper. With the help of pointed needle, the points of the opened spikelet were marked. The angle measured using a protector.

Flag leaf angle: Flag leaf angle is the angle between panicle and the flag leaf. It was measured using protector.

Panicle exertion percentage: Panicle exertion percentage was calculated using formula:

Panicle exertion (%) = (Length of exerted panicle/Total length of panicle) \times 100.

Seed setting (%): For determining the per cent seed set/row, six panicles were selected randomly from each row of each plot. The filled spikelet was separated from the unfilled spikelet and was counted.

The seed set per cent was calculated by using the following formula and expressed as seed set in percentage.

Seed setting (%) = (Number of filled spikelet/Total number of spikelet) × 100.

Seed yield (kg/ha): Seed harvested from seed parent (A line) was taken and yield was calculated as kg seed per ha.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean value for growth duration difference (GDD) based on days to 50% flowering for seed parent (Pusa 6A) and pollen parents (PRR 78) were 81.11 and 90.44, respectively, in a study done during Kharif 2017 to determine seeding interval. The average time delay between 50% flowering of the pollen parent and 50% flowering of the seed parent was 9.33 days (Sushmita *et al.*, 2019). It shows that the pollen parent takes around 10 days longer to blossom than the seed parent. Varma *et al.*, (2018) found similar differences as well. According to Singh and Sahoo (1996), flowering can be synchronized between two parental lines with differing growth duration differences (GDD) by planting both lines on different days.

CMS lines/seed parents based on WA cytoplasm tend to have defective panicle exertion, with parts of the panicle covered by the leaf sheath. There is no pollination or fertilisation of the confined spikelets as a result. Thangapandian *et al.*, (2018) reported that the seed parent Pusa 6A with WA cytoplasm had the similar problem of inadequate panicle exertion. According to Rahman *et al.*, (2013) there have been various reports on the use of GA3 and flag leaf trimming to improve panicle exertion.

Gibberellic acid (GA₃) is a diterpenoid carboxylic acid which plays an important role in rice hybrid seed production. It is an effective and efficient growth hormone, which stimulates the cell elongation and thus causes panicle exertion. GA3 alone was ineffective in increasing seed output at maturity, however it was found to be effective when combined with flag leaf trimming and rope pulling. The flag leaf is the final leaf to form and is taller than the panicle that emerges with it. The function of the flag leaf is only necessary at the end of the plant's life cycle. It contributes at least 10 percent of the total yield. Flag leaf trimming improves out crossing rate, which is one of the major variables in increasing seed setting in hybrid rice production. It promotes homogeneous pollen flow and wide pollen grain dissemination, resulting in increased seed set.

Data present in Table 1 shows that the treatment has significant effect on plant height for both the parents, but mean height of pollen parent is still higher than seed parent. Maximum plant height in seed parent (Pusa 6A) were recorded in T_5 (rope pulling + GA₃ + flag leaf clipping) with 89.45 cm followed by T_3 (rope pulling + GA₃) with 87.68 cm. No significant difference was observed between T_1 (control) with 75.78 cm and T_2 (rope pulling) with 75.64 cm. Also, from as presented in Table 3 and 4 spikelet opening angle as well as flag leaf angle was significantly affected by various treatment combinations.

Table 1: Effect of treatments and stagg	ered sowing on	plant height of se	ed parent and	pollen parent.
---	----------------	--------------------	---------------	----------------

Treatments		Plant Height (cm)								
	Seed Parent (Pusa 6A)					Pollen Parent (PRR 78)				
	S1	S2	S3	S4	Mean	S1	S2	S3	S4	Mean
T1: Control	75.72	76.05	75.72	75.63	75.78	99.17	104.18	103.8	101.17	102.08
T2: Rope pulling	75.65	75.65	75.65	75.62	75.64	101.18	105.18	104.27	102.32	103.24
T3: Rope pulling + GA3	87.35	87.30	87.35	88.73	87.68	109.92	110.85	114.68	114.03	112.37
T4: Rope pulling + Flag leaf clipping	77.10	77.15	77.12	77.11	77.12	104.12	104.52	104.52	102.52	103.92
T5: Rope pulling + GA3 + Flag leaf clipping	89.48	89.00	89.50	89.82	89.45	112.28	115.02	116.02	114.2	114.38
Mean	81.06	81.02	81.07	81.38		105.33	107.95	108.66	106.85	
CD (P = 0.05)										
Т		1.44						1.85		
S		NS					1.71			
$T \times S$			NS					NS		

S: Staggered Sowing; T: Treatment (Supplementry Pollination); S_1 : 15 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S_2 : 10 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S_3 : 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S_4 : 0 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent.

Table 2: Effect of supplementary pollination along with treatment combination on days to 50% flowering of seed parent and pollen parent.

Treatments		Days to 50% flowering									
	Seed Parent (Pusa 6A)					Pollen Parent (PRR 78)					
	S1	S2	S3	S4	Mean	S1	S2	S3	S4	Mean	
T ₁ : Control	83.17	82.50	82.17	80.17	82.00	90.83	90.33	91.67	90.00	90.71	
T ₂ : Rope pulling	82.33	81.50	83.17	82.67	82.42	90.50	89.33	90.50	89.67	90.00	
T ₃ : Rope pulling + GA ₃	79.00	80.83	79.50	80.00	79.83	90.33	89.17	87.50	88.83	88.96	
T4: Rope pulling + Flag leaf clipping	82.50	81.83	80.00	81.33	81.42	90.17	90.67	88.50	89.33	89.67	
T ₅ : Rope pulling + GA ₃ + Flag leaf clipping	80.17	79.33	79.33	80.83	79.92	89.67	89.83	86.83	89.33	88.92	
Mean	81.43	81.20	80.83	81.00		90.30	89.87	89.00	89.43		
CD (P = 0.05)											
Т		1.08						0.71			
S		NS					0.63				
$T \times S$			NS			1.41					

S: Staggered Sowing; T: Treatment (Supplementry Pollination); S_1 : 15 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S_2 : 10 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interv

Table 3: Effect of supplementary pollination along with treatment combination on spikelet opening angle of seed parent and pollen parent.

Treatments		Spikelet Opening Angle (°)									
	Seed Parent (Pusa 6A)					Pollen Parent (PRR 78)					
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S4	Mean	S ₁	S_2	S ₃	S4	Mean	
T ₁ : Control	18.05	18.43	18.47	17.97	18.23	17.00	15.35	14.87	15.25	15.62	
T ₂ : Rope pulling	18.30	19.90	19.27	19.21	19.21	16.87	16.28	15.07	16.38	16.15	
T_3 : Rope pulling + GA_3	21.08	21.53	21.62	21.53	21.53	23.08	22.13	22.00	19.58	21.70	
T ₄ : Rope pulling + Flag leaf clipping	17.70	18.25	19.12	18.47	18.47	16.63	15.48	15.76	16.70	16.14	
T ₅ : Rope pulling + GA ₃ + Flag leaf clipping	21.55	21.85	22.25	21.93	21.93	24.22	22.15	23.07	18.95	22.09	
Mean	19.34	19.99	20.14	20.02		19.56	18.28	18.15	17.37		
CD (P = 0.05)											
Т		1.1	4					1.13			
S		NS				0.86					
$T \times S$		N	S			2.26					

S: Staggered Sowing; T: Treatment (Supplementry Pollination); S_1 : 15 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S_2 : 10 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S4: 0 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent.

Treatments		Flag Leaf Angle (°)									
		Pollen Parent (PRR 78)									
	S ₁	S_2	S_3	S4	Mean	S_1	S_2	S ₃	S4	Mean	
T ₁ : Control	23.08	22.57	23.70	22.40	22.94	22.00	21.15	23.88	22.12	22.29	
T ₂ : Rope pulling	24.67	25.88	25.18	24.90	25.16	22.58	23.90	23.87	22.25	23.15	
T ₃ : Rope pulling + GA ₃	30.48	32.35	31.80	30.82	31.36	30.30	31.25	32.07	33.35	31.74	
T ₄ : Rope pulling + Flag leaf clipping	23.95	24.47	23.92	23.58	23.98	21.73	23.35	24.80	22.70	23.15	
T5: Rope pulling + GA3 + Flag leaf clipping	29.58	31.37	31.48	30.68	30.78	33.50	31.97	33.68	30.02	32.29	
Mean	26.35	27.33	27.22	26.48		26.02	26.32	27.66	26.09		
CD (P = 0.05)											
Т		1.14						1.19			
S		NS					1.24				
$T \times S$			NS					NS			

Table 4: Effect of treatments and staggered sowing on flag leaf angle of seed parent and pollen parent.

S: Staggered Sowing; T: Treatment (Supplementary Pollination); S_1 : 15 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S_2 : 10 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; O days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent.

Data presented in Table 5 revealed the significant and positive effect of GA₃ application and flag leaf clipping on panicle exertion in seed parent. The panicle exertion was significantly highest in T_5 (93.45 %) followed by T_3 (92.97 %) and T_4 (82.80 %) than control T_1 (79.12 %). Riaz et al., (2019) stated that application of gibberellic acid of concentration 200 ppm shows significant increase in hybrid rice seed production (0.3-1 tonne per hectare). Similarly, Suralta and Robles (2003) showed that GA₃ application at 150 g/ha in two split doses at the beginning of 5-10 per cent panicle initiation of the female parent population, results in increased percentage of panicle exertion from the flag leaf sheath by a maximum of around 80 per cent. Seed setting percentage is one of the most yield attributing characters. In present experiment, we have found that seed setting percent was significantly highest in T₅ (18.96%) followed by T₃ (13.13%), T₄ (12.75%), T₂ (9.25%) than control (4.21%).

Significant effect of staggering of pollen parent was observed on its days to 50% flowering. It was observed that when staggered sowing and transplanting of pollen parent of various seedling aged i.e. 36 day old seedling, 31 days old seedling, 26 days old seedling and 21 days old seedling; in crossing block with 21 days old seedling of seed parent, the range of difference in days to 50 percent flowering were 8.67, 8.17, 8.44 and 8.53 days, similar observations were reported by Bishwas et al., (2020) and Madhukeshwara et al., (2019). The highest hybrid seed yield was reported in T₅ (1113.49 kg/ha) followed by T₃ (774.64 kg/ha), T₃ (752.23 kg/ha), T₂ (554.04 kg/ha) than control (226.74 kg/ha). Similarly, Ponnuswamy et al., (1998) suggested that application of GA₃ (125 g/ha) at the 15-20 per cent panicle exertion stage increased plant height, panicle exertion, flag leaf angle, seed setting percentage and seed vield.

 Table 5: Effect of supplementary pollination along with treatment combination on panicle exertion of seed parent.

Treatments	Panicle Exertion (%)									
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S4	Mean					
T ₁ : Control	78.88	79.05	79.52	79.03	79.12					
T ₂ : Rope pulling	79.28	78.95	80.83	80.35	79.85					
T_3 : Rope pulling + GA_3	92.63	93.45	92.9	92.90	92.97					
T ₄ : Rope pulling + Flag leaf clipping	82.48	82.48	82.48	83.75	82.80					
T ₅ : Rope pulling + GA ₃ + Flag leaf clipping	93.97	93.13	93.10	93.58	93.45					
Mean	85.45	85.41	85.77	85.92						
CD (P = 0.05)										
Т	1.33									
S			NS							
T×S			NS							

S: Staggered Sowing; T: Treatment (Supplementary Pollination); S_1 : 15 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S_2 : 10 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S4: 0 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent.

Table 6: Effect of supplementary pollination along with treatment combination on yield of hybrid seed production.

Treatments		Seed	d Setting (%)		Hybrid Seed Yield (kg/ha)				
	S ₁	S_2	S ₃	S4	Mean	S ₁	S_2	S ₃	S4	Mean
T ₁ : Control	4.333	6.333	4.500	1.667	4.208	234.447	337.700	250.648	84.167	226.740
T ₂ : Rope pulling	10.000	12.833	10.167	4.000	9.250	591.837	755.260	600.295	228.803	544.049
T_3 : Rope pulling + GA_3	13.333	17.167	14.500	7.500	13.125	790.022	1,006.982	861.455	440.107	774.641
T ₄ : Rope pulling + Flag leaf clipping	15.667	15.833	13.000	6.500	12.750	925.188	940.733	761.668	381.357	752.237
T ₅ : Rope pulling + GA ₃ + Flag leaf clipping	21.500	23.833	21.333	9.167	18.958	1,263.860	1,397.607	1,254.497	538.025	1,113.497
Mean	12.967	15.200	12.700	5.767		761.071	887.656	745.713	334.492	
CD (P = 0.05)										
Т	0.483					28.001				
S	0.454					24.476				
$T \times S$			0.966					56.002		

S: Staggered Sowing; T: Treatment (Supplementry Pollination) S_1 : 15 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S_2 : 10 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent; S3: 5 days seeding interval between Pollen parent & Seed Parent.

Table 7:	Effect of	of treatments	on pla	nting rat	io on hy	brid seed	setting	percent and	vield.
					•/				

Treatment	S	Seed Setting (%))	Hybrid Seed Yield (kg/ha)				
	P ₁	P ₂	Mean	P ₁	\mathbf{P}_2	Mean		
T ₁ : Control	4.417	4.000	4.208	250.453	203.028	226.740		
T ₂ : Rope pulling	9.333	9.167	9.250	525.240	562.858	544.049		
T_3 : Rope pulling + GA_3	13.417	12.833	13.125	750.938	798.344	774.641		
T ₄ : Rope pulling + Flag leaf clipping	13.250	12.250	12.750	742.688	761.786	752.237		
T ₅ : Rope pulling + GA ₃ + Flag leaf clipping	19.583 18.333		18.958	1,092.616	1,134.378	1,113.497		
Mean	12.000	11.317		672.387	692.079			
CD (P = 0.05)								
Т		0.483			28.001			
Р		0.306		17.709				
T × P		NS		39.600				

S: Staggered Sowing; P: Planting Ratio; T: Treatment (Supplementry Pollination) T1: Control; T₂: Rope pulling; T₃: Rope pulling plus application of GA₃; T₄: flag leaf cutting along with Rope pulling; T₄: flag leaf cutting along with Rope pulling plus application of GA₃; P₁: Planting ratio 6:2 (Female: male); P₂: Planting ratio 8:2 (Female: male).

CONCLUSION

According to the findings of the preceding investigations, successful production of hybrid rice PRH 10 in Sabour (Bihar) conditions is required. GA3 application at the 5% flowering stage increased panicle effort dramatically and positively, either alone or in combination with flag leaf cutting. Seed setting percentage was increased by 5.04 percent (9.25; 554.04 kg/ha) over control (4.21; 226.74 kg/ha) with supplemental pollination by rope pulling alone. GA3 was determined to be the optimum treatment for hybrid seed yield and its contributing features such as panicle length, panicle exertion %, and spikelet opening when combined with flag leaf cutting and rope pulling. The effect of planting ratio was also found significant. Seed setting percent were significantly higher in planting ratio 2:6, while increasing the planting ratio, percentage seed setting decreases. However, total seed yield per ha were recorded highest in planting ratio 2:8.

FUTURE SCOPE

Hybrid rice seed production is currently centered in Telangana and Andhra Pradesh's Karimnagar, Warangal,

Kurnool, and Nandyal districts, Karnataka's Mandaya & Mysore district, Maharastra's Kolhapur district, and Tamilnadu's Erode & Bhawanisagar district. The cool and dry agroclimatic conditions in these places favours good seed setting of hybrid seed. The hot and humid weather conditions result in poor opening of flower and pollen loss due to monsoon rain, are a major factor affecting seed production of hybrid rice in Bihar. In eastern regions like Bihar, Jharkhand, and West Bengal, high seed costs and a lack of understanding among farmers are also significant barriers to hybrid seed production. On the other hand, hybrid paddy acreage in eastern states is fast increasing, and there is a large market demand. If hybrid seed production technology were standardized according to local environmental conditions, hybrid seed costs would be reduced, and local hybrid seed entrepreneurship among youngsters would flourish.

Abbreviations: Staggered Sowing; T_0 : control; T_1 : rope pulling; T_2 : rope pulling along with GA₃ application; T_3 : rope pulling along with flag leaf clipping T_4 : rope pulling along with GA₃ application as well as flag leaf clipping

Sushmita & Kumar

Acknowledgement. Authors are thankful to Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour for all necessary support and funding for research.

Conflict of Interest. There is no any conflict of Interest of corresponding authors and all authors for Publication of Article. All authors agree for publication of their names in Article.

REFERENCES

- Bishwas, S., Bordolui, K. S., Sadhukhan, R., & Chattopadhyay, P. (2020). Study the effect of staggered sowing of hybrid rice in new alluvial zone. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied science*, 9(4): 1814-1826.
- DES ((Directorate of Economics and Statistics) (2020) Agricultural Statistics at a Glance 2020. Pp 48-49. MAFW, Government of India.
- Li, J., Xin, Y., & Yuan, L. (2010). Hybrid rice technology development: Ensuring China's food security. In D.J. Spielman & R. Pandya-Lorch (Eds.), Proven success in agricultural development: A technical compendium to million fed Washington, DC: International Food Policy Reasearch Institute.
- Madhukeshwara, B., Puttappanavara, Deshpande, V. K., Krishna, A., & Hanumaratti, N. G. (2019). Effect of seeding interval and transplanting age on seed yield and seed quality in KRH-4 hybrid rice. *International Journal of current microbiology and applied sciences*, 8(2): 456-463.
- Malik, T. H., Baba, A. Y., & Hussain, S. T. (2020). Hybrid rice seed production technology. The ABCs of Agriculture, pp. 110-121.
- Ponnuswamy, A. S., Rangswamy, M., Rangaswamy, P., & Thiyagarajan, K. (1998). Adapting hybrid rice seed production technology. *International Rice Research Notes*, 23(2): 26.

- Rahman, M.H., Khatun, M. M., Khan, M. S. R., Haque, M. M., & Rasul, M. G. (2013). Effect of GA₃ and row ratio on floral traits of component lines of BRRI hybrid Dhan-2. *Bangladesh Journal of Agriculture Research*, 38(1): 155-163.
- Riaz, M., Iqbal M., Sabar, M., Saher, H., Shahzadi, N., Bibi, T., & Raza, A. (2019). Influence of Gibberellic acid on seed multiplication of CMS lines used for hybrid rice development. *African Journal of Plant Science*, 13(7): 195-200.
- Singh, R., & Sahoo, S. K. (1996). Evaluation of hybrid seed production technology along with new growth hormone-Mangiferin, Proceeding, 3rd International Symposium on Hybrid Rice, Orgd. DRR, Hyderabad, India, pp.117.
- Suralta, R. R., & Robles, R. P. (2003). Gibberellic acid (GA3) improves heading characteristics of cytoplasmic male sterile (CMS) lines and seed yield of hybrid rice seed production. *Phillipine Journal Agriculture Science*, 28: 22-24.
- Sushmita, Kumar, M., Satyendra, Singh, P. K., Kumar A., Singh, S. N., & Kumar, S. (2019). Studies on effect of seeding interval, planting ratio and supplementary pollination on hybrid rice PRH-10 seed production. *International Journal of Agriculture Science*, 11(23): 9298-9300.
- Thangapandian, R., Bhoopati, N. M., & Yuvaraja, A. (2018). Validation of elongated uppermost internode (eui) gene with functional markers in spontaneous mutant rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 9(4): 1303-1307.
- Varma, R. L., Singh, S., Kumar, M., Bal, D., Rout, D., Samantaray, S. and Singh, (2018) Method optimization for parental line synchronization in hybrid rice seed production. *Plant Archives*, 18: 200-204.

How to cite this article: Sushmita and Kumar, M. (2021). Effect of Different Techniques (Staggered Sowing, Planting Ratio and Supplementary Pollination) on Seed yield of Hybrid Rice (PRH-10) in Bihar Condition. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *13*(3a): 364-369.